



ERRATUM

**Sudduth, M. (2024). The Augustine–Braude–Bigelow survival debate: A postmortem and prospects for future directions. *Journal of Scientific Exploration*, 38(3), 468–531. <https://doi.org/10.31275/20243309>**

The publisher and author wish to correct an error in the numbering of the in-text endnote callouts in the published version of the article that occurred during production and was missed in proof.

1. The first three in-text endnote callouts (endnotes 1 and 2 in the abstract; endnote 3 — first column, p. 470) are correct as published.
2. On page 473 (second column, paragraph beginning with “First, there is a *calibration* problem”), the endnote callouts incorrectly reset to 1 at the end of the sentence “...Augustine’s arguments or the survival arguments he was evaluating.” The in-text endnote callout 1 here should be 4.
3. Due to the reset on page 473, all subsequent in-text endnote callouts *are three digits too low*. So, beginning with the in-text callout currently printed as 1 on p. 473, in-text endnote numbers should be increased by 3 (i.e., 1 → 4, 2 → 5, 3 → 6, 4 → 7, etc.) with the final endnote callout, currently 57, being 60.

The above corrections *have not been applied* to the online or PDF versions of the article. Readers should therefore be aware that the published digital versions continue to display the original, incorrect endnote numbering and placements described above.

The author and production office regret these errors and any confusion they may have caused. This correction notice serves to clarify the intended endnote numbering and placement to ensure accurate correspondence between in-text references and endnote entries and to preserve the integrity of the scholarly record.

<https://doi.org/10.31275/20253885>

GOLD OPEN ACCESS



Creative Commons License 4.0.  
CC-BY-NC. Attribution required.  
No commercial use.